



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/714,449

11/17/2003

Ruben Laguens

42597-193226

9366

26694

7590

07/01/2008

VENABLE LLP

P.O. BOX 34385

WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998

EXAMINER

KAUSHAL, SUMESH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1633

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/01/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/714,449	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LAGUENS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sumesh Kaushal	<b>Art Unit</b> 1633	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sumesh Kaushal.

(3) Nancy Axelrod.

(2) Joseph Woitach.

(4) Gustavo Vera Janavel.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,10-14,19,33-36,43,44,51-56,59-62,64-66,69-80,98,99 and 102-105.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vale et al 2000, Kajstura et al 1998.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prior art and enablement issues were discussed. The applicant is considering amending the pending claims and providing additional evidence to over come the pending prior art and enablement rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sumesh Kaushal/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required